Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/456,249	RUBIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sanjiv D. Shah	2624	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sanjiv D. Shah</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>John Fleming</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 5 April 2006	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: As cited in prior office action			
Claims discussed: 3, 4, 5, 15			
Prior art documents discussed: As cited in prior art			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner suggested examiner's amendment. Applicant's attorney authorized examiner to make examiner's amendment.			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
Sanjiv Shah			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			